



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/566,327 | 01/27/2006 | Kenji Ogawa | MAT-8808US | 3515 | | |
| 52473 | 7590 | 09/14/2009 | EXAMINER | | | |
| RATNERPRESTIA P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482 | | | | MCCOMMAS, STUART S | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| 2629 | | | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | | | |
| 09/14/2009 | | PAPER | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/566,327 | OGAWA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Stuart McCommas | 2629 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 June 2009.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura (United States Patent Application Publication 2002/0021264), hereinafter referenced as Nakamura, in view of Homma (United States Patent Application Publication 2001/0020923), hereinafter referenced as Homma.

Regarding claim 1, Nakamura discloses a method of driving a plasma display panel 15, the plasma display panel including discharge cells 16, each discharge cell formed at an intersection of a scan electrode and a sustain electrode, and a data electrode (figure 3), the method comprising:

dividing one field period into a plurality of sub-fields, each sub-field having an initializing or priming discharge period with an erasure period, a writing period, and sustaining period (figure 9; figure 12);

performing in the priming discharge period and in the erasure period either an all cell initializing operation or a selective initializing operation, where the all-cell initializing operation causes initializing discharge in all the discharge cells for displaying an image (paragraphs 58-63; paragraphs 90-98; figure 8; figure 9; figure 12) and the selective

initializing operation selectively causes initializing discharge using the erasure pulse P_e only in the discharge cells where sustaining pulses and sustaining discharge occurred in the previous sub-field (paragraph 63; paragraphs 90-98; figure 9; figure 12).

However Nakamura fails to disclose wherein each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has an abnormal discharge part which causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein, the abnormal charge erasing part applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform to the scan electrodes.

In a similar field of invention Homma discloses wherein each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has an abnormal discharge part which causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein, the abnormal charge erasing part applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform to the scan electrodes (paragraphs 11-20; paragraphs 61-73; figures 8-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakamura with Homma by specifically providing wherein each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has an abnormal discharge part which causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein, the abnormal charge erasing part applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform to the scan electrodes for the purpose of allowing excess charge

to be controlled in a plasma display panel to improve the quality of the display (paragraph 15).

Regarding claim 2, Nakamura and Homma, the combination discloses everything as applied above, further Homma discloses wherein in the abnormal charge erasing part, a voltage is not applied to the sustain electrode when a rectangular waveform voltage with a negative polarity is applied (figures 8-10).

Regarding claim 4, Nakamura and Homma, the combination discloses everything as applied above, further Nakamura discloses wherein a number of times of all-cell initializing period in the one field period is controlled by determining either the all-cell initializing operation or the selective initializing operation according to an APL (paragraphs 90-98; figure 12).

Regarding claim 5, Nakamura and Homma, the combination discloses everything as applied above, further Nakamura discloses wherein a number of times of all-cell initializing period in the one field period is controlled by determining either the all-cell initializing operation or the selective initializing operation according to an APL (paragraphs 90-98; figure 12).

3. Claim 3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura in view of Kim et al. (United States Patent 7,109,951), hereinafter referenced as Kim, and further in view of Homma.

Regarding claim 3, Nakamura discloses a method of driving a plasma display panel 15, the plasma display panel including discharge cells 16, each discharge cell

formed at an intersection of a scan electrode and a sustain electrode, and a data electrode (figure 3), the method comprising:

dividing one field period into a plurality of sub-fields, each sub-field having an initializing or priming discharge period with an erasure period, a writing period, and sustaining period (figure 9);

performing in the priming discharge period and in the erasure period either an all cell initializing operation or a selective initializing operation, where the all-cell initializing operation causes initializing discharge in all the discharge cells for displaying an image (paragraphs 58-63; figure 8; figure 9) and the selective initializing operation selectively causes initializing discharge using the erasure pulse P_e only in the discharge cells where sustaining pulses and sustaining discharge occurred in the previous sub-field (paragraph 63; figure 9). Further Nakamura discloses that each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has a former half part and a latter half part of the priming discharge period (figure 9), where in the former half part there is application of an ascending ramp waveform voltage P_p to the scan electrodes that causes a first initializing discharge using the scan electrodes as anodes and the sustain electrodes and data electrodes as cathodes (paragraph 60; figure 9) and where in the latter half part, application of a descending ramp waveform voltage P_{pe} to the scan electrodes causes a second initializing discharge using the scan electrodes as the cathodes and the sustain electrodes and data electrodes as the anodes (paragraph 60; figure 9). Further Nakamura discloses that the initializing period for performing the selective initializing operation has an initializing period for applying a descending ramp

waveform voltage to the scan electrodes, using the scan electrodes as the cathodes and the sustain electrodes as the anodes (paragraph 60; figure 9).

However Nakamura fails to disclose an abnormal charge erasing part and, in the abnormal charge erasing part, applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform to the scan electrodes causing self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein.

In a similar field of invention Kim discloses an abnormal charge erasing part and, in the abnormal charge erasing part, applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a rectangular waveform voltage, to the scan electrodes causing self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein (column 8 lines 64-67; column 9 lines 1-25; figure 8).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakamura with Kim by specifically providing an abnormal charge erasing part and, in the abnormal charge erasing part, applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a rectangular waveform voltage, to the scan electrodes causing self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein for the purpose of allowing excess charge to be controlled and erased to avoid misfires in a plasma display panel to improve the quality of the display (column 3 lines 27-41).

In a similar field of invention Homma discloses wherein each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has an abnormal discharge part

which causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein, the abnormal charge erasing part applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform voltage to the scan electrodes (paragraphs 11-20; paragraphs 61-73; figures 8-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakamura with Homma by specifically providing wherein each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has an abnormal discharge part which causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein, the abnormal charge erasing part applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform voltage to the scan electrodes for the purpose of allowing excess charge to be controlled in a plasma display panel to improve the quality of the display (paragraph 15).

Regarding claim 6, Nakamura, Kim and Homma, the combination discloses everything as applied above, further Nakamura discloses wherein a number of times of all-cell initializing period in the one field period is controlled by determining either the all-cell initializing operation or the selective initializing operation according to an APL (paragraphs 90-98; figure 12).

Regarding claim 7, Nakamura discloses a method of driving a plasma display panel 15, the plasma display panel including discharge cells 16, each discharge cell formed at an intersection of a scan electrode and a sustain electrode, and a data electrode (figure 3), the method comprising:

dividing one field period into a plurality of sub-fields, each sub-field having an initializing or priming discharge period with an erasure period, a writing period, and sustaining period (figure 9);

in the initializing periods of the plurality of subfields, performing either an all cell initializing operation or a selective initializing operation, where the all-cell initializing operation causes initializing discharge in all the discharge cells for displaying an image (paragraphs 58-63; figure 8; figure 9) and the selective initializing operation selectively causes initializing discharge using the erasure pulse P_e only in the discharge cells where sustaining pulses and sustaining discharge occurred in the previous sub-field (paragraph 63; figure 9). Further Nakamura discloses that each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has a former half part and a latter half part of the priming discharge period (figure 9), where in the former half part there is application of an ascending ramp waveform voltage P_p to the scan electrodes that causes a first initializing discharge using the scan electrodes as anodes and the sustain electrodes and data electrodes as cathodes (paragraph 60; figure 9) and where in the latter half part, application of a descending ramp waveform voltage P_{pe} to the scan electrodes causes a second initializing discharge using the scan electrodes as the cathodes and the sustain electrodes and data electrodes as the anodes (paragraph 60; figure 9). Further Nakamura discloses wherein a number of times of all-cell initializing period in the one field period is controlled by determining either the all-cell initializing operation or the selective initializing operation according to an APL (paragraphs 90-98; figure 12).

However Nakamura fails to disclose an abnormal discharge part and a ramp waveform voltage which is ranging from a voltage with the same polarity as the voltage applied during the former half part of the initialization period to a voltage reverse in polarity thereto, and in the abnormal charge erasing part, and applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform to the scan electrodes.

In a similar field of invention Kim discloses a method and apparatus for driving plasma display panel. In addition, Kim discloses an abnormal discharge part (figure 8) and a ramp waveform voltage which is ranging from a voltage with the same polarity as the voltage applied during the former half part of the initialization period to a voltage reverse in polarity thereto (column 8 lines 36-63; figure 6; figure 8), and in the abnormal charge erasing part, applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a rectangular waveform voltage, to the scan electrodes (column 8 lines 64-67; column 9 lines 1-25; figure 8).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakamura by specifically providing an abnormal discharge part and a ramp waveform voltage which is ranging from a voltage with the same polarity as the voltage applied during the former half part of the initialization period to a voltage reverse in polarity thereto, in the abnormal charge erasing part, applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a rectangular waveform voltage, to the scan electrodes for the purpose of allowing excess charge to

be controlled and erased to avoid misfires in a plasma display panel to improve the quality of the display (column 3 lines 27-41).

In a similar field of invention Homma discloses wherein each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has an abnormal discharge part which causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein, the abnormal charge erasing part applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform voltage to the scan electrodes (paragraphs 11-20; paragraphs 61-73; figures 8-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakamura with Homma by specifically providing wherein each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has an abnormal discharge part which causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein, the abnormal charge erasing part applying a positive rectangular waveform voltage, followed by applying a negative rectangular waveform voltage to the scan electrodes for the purpose of allowing excess charge to be controlled in a plasma display panel to improve the quality of the display (paragraph 15).

Regarding claim 8, Nakamura, Kim and Homma, the combination discloses everything as applied above, further Kim discloses wherein in the abnormal charge erasing part, a voltage is not applied to the sustain electrode when a rectangular waveform voltage is applied (figure 8).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are believed to be answered by and therefore moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

On pages 6-7, Applicant's representative argues that Homma discloses a saw-tooth waveform and not a rectangular voltage waveform.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees, because Homma clearly discloses that the voltage waveform in figure 8 is a rectangular voltage waveform. Merriam-Webster dictionary defines rectangular as "shaped like a rectangle, or having edges, surface, or faces that meet at right angles." Clearly the pulses in Homma have multiple right angles, and in this sense are like a rectangle, or rectangular. Thus the Examiner believes that it is clear that the pulses in Homma are, by definition, rectangular voltage waveforms.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stuart McCommas whose telephone number is (571)270-3568. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Eisen can be reached on 571-272-7687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stuart McCommas
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2629

SSM

/Alexander Eisen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629